



## Why are we talking about it?



## LIPSE



1. Erasmus University Rotterdam (NL)
2. Ecole Nationale d'Administration (FR)
3. ESADE (Sp)
4. Hertie School of Governance (G)
5. KU Leuven (B)
6. Luigi Bocconi Commercial University (It)
7. Matej Bel University Banska Bystrica (Sl)
8. National School of Political Studies and Public Administration (Ro)
9. Radboud University Nijmegen (NL)
10. Roskilde University (Den)
11. Tallinn University of Technology (Es)
12. The University of Edinburgh (UK)



## Purpose of LIPSE:

Identifying drivers and barriers regarding:


1. Innovative capacity in networks
2. Co-creation with citizens
3. The role of institutionalized feedback mechanisms
4. Risks and risk strategies
5. ICT- driven innovations



## Findings of LIPSE



## Four possible scenarios



## Let's party



## Wasteland



## Connecting the Dots: Smart cities and social innovation

Potential:

- Better able to monitor what citizens may need/want by effective monitoring
- Identification of new majorities
- New ways to communicate preference and dialogue



## Connecting the Dots: Smart cities and social innovation

**But.....**

- New ethical dilemma's
  - Effective monitoring
  - Exclusion by SI and the emergence of a new majority?
  - If everyone is talking, who is being heard?
- Danger of a simplistic focus:
  - Instrument as a purpose in itself
  - Social networks as the root for social innovation what does a smart city for that?



## Questions / comments

